



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,279	03/24/2000	Gang Lu	2762.2001-002	2501
21005	7590 11/22/2005	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON	I, BROOK, SMITH &	HARRELL, ROBERT B		
P.O. BOX 913			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133		2142	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	09/535,279	LU ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert B. Harrell	2142		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Mark B. Solomon (Registration Number: 44,348).	(3)			
(2) Robert B. Harrell (Primary Examiner).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2005</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)⊡ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: <i>NONE</i> .	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 26</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Reed (Patent Number:	Identification of prior art discussed: Reed (Patent Number: 6,061,739).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICANT IS DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO		
		-		
·				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	AN EL	Some		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner clarified paragraph 13 of the Final Office Action in which Reed shown not only an IP addresses based network but also a MAC addressed based network not fully shown in figure 1 right of element 16 and thus stipulated that Reed still read on the claimed invention. The applicant's representative would consider a supplemental preliminary amendment in light of this showing within a months time to distinguish the claim there over including claim 26 which examiner stated reads on a personal computer dialing to an Internet service provider for address assignment over the internally set IP address given at boot time of the personal computer including over the teachings of Reed.

A proposed substitute specification was considered to move all United States Applications mentioned in the specification to the first page of the specification including current status such as Patent Numbers and other status. Also, the proposed substitute specification would change IP addresses to a variable format (i.e., use "W.X.Y.Z where letters range from 0 to 255") through the specification.

Facimile of Applicant Inititated Interview Request Form is confirmed receipt there to and enclosed good there of.

Robert B, Harrell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2142

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 Virginia Road, P.O. Box 9133 Concord, MA 01742-9133

Telephone: (978) 341-0036

Comments:

Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

UNOFFICIAL DOCUMENT FOR EXAMINER'S REVIEW

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Examiner:		Robert B. Ha	rreii	Group:	2142
Date:		November 14,	2005		
Client Cod	le:	2762			•
Facsimile No.:		(571) 273-3895			
From:		Mark B. Soloi	non		
Subject:	Subject: Paper: Docket No.:		Applicant Initiated In	terview Reque	st
			2762,2001-002		•
Applic Scrial Filing		ants:	Gang Lu, James O'Te	oole and M. Fra	ans Kaashock
		No.:	09/535,279		
		Date:	March 24, 2000		
Number of pa	ages <u>incl</u>	luding this cove	er sheet: 2		
Please confir	m receir	ot of facsimile:	Yes <u>X</u> No		

Privileged and Confidential - All information transmitted hereby is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), please note that any distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who received this communication in error is asked to notify us immediately by telephone and to destroy the original message or return it to us at the above address via first class mail.

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

.A	applicant Initiat	ed Interview R	equest For	m	
Application No.: 09/5	35,279	First Named Appl	icant: Gang Lu	1	
Examiner: Harrell, Ro		142 Status of A	pplication: Pc	nding	
Tentative Participants: (1) Mark B. Solomon (3)		(2) Thomas . (4)	J. Arria		
Proposed Date of Interv	iew: November 17, 2	2005 Proposed Tim	e: 11:00 a.m.		(AM/PM)
Type of Interview Requ	ested:				
(1) [X] Telephonic	(2) []	Personal	(3) [] Vi	deo Confere	nce
Exhibit To Be Shown o	r Demonstrated: [2	X] YES	[] N	O	
If yes, provide brief des	cription: Amendmen	u filed October 20, 20	005	**	
	Issues	To Be Discuss	ed		
Issues (Ref., Obj., etc.)	Claims / Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection	Claims 1-4 and 7-40	Reed et al. U.S. Patent 6,061,739	[]	[]	[]
(2)			[]	[]	[]
[] Continuation Sho	ect Attached	- A		• -	
"posing as a node har Claim 26 is also pate local subnet that dete local subnet for an av	is patently distinct from the series of the	m the cited reference trnal from the subnet d. Specifically, Reed bnet configuration the figuration.	as recited in C does not disclo en accesses a no	laim 1. Inde se a network	pendent node in a
An interview was cond	ucted on the above-ide	ntified application on			
MARK 7	e delayed from issue becavised to file a statement of Representative Signa	use of applicant's failur f the substance of this in ture	e to submit a wri	tten record of L. § 1.133(b)) a	this interview.
Typed/Printed Name o			2200£ \$ COID 4 670 244	I 0496 t DUDATION	/mm 0.0\\0.00 E0